arXiv:1405.0643v1 [math.NT] 4 May 2014

A note on the number of coefficients of automorphic $L-$functions for GL_m with same signs

Chaohua Jia

Abstract. Let π be an irreducible unitary cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $L(s, \pi)$ be the global $L-$function attached to π. If $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, $L(s, \pi)$ has a Dirichlet series expression. When π is self-contragradient, all the coefficients of Dirichlet series are real. In this note, we shall give non-trivial lower bounds for the number of positive and negative coefficients respectively, which is an improvement on the recent work of Jianya Liu and Jie Wu.

1. Introduction

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer, $\pi = \otimes\pi_p$ be an irreducible unitary cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. For $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, the global $L-$function is defined as

$$L(s, \pi) := \prod_{p<\infty} L_p(s, \pi_p), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$L_p(s, \pi_p) := \prod_{1\leq j\leq m} \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha_\pi(p, j)}{p^s}\Big)^{-1}. \tag{1.2}$$

To estimate the local parameters $\{\alpha_\pi(p, j)\}_{j=1}^m$ associated with π_p is important for the study of automorphic $L-$functions. The best known results are that for all primes p and $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\alpha_\pi(p, j) \ll p^{\theta_m}, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\theta_2 = \frac{7}{64}, \qquad \theta_3 = \frac{5}{14}, \qquad \theta_4 = \frac{9}{22} \tag{1.4}$$

which are due to H. H. Kim and P. Sarnak[3],

$$\theta_m = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{m^2+1}, \qquad m \geq 5 \tag{1.5}$$

which are due to Wenzhi Luo, Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak[6].

H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika[2] proved that for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, the Euler product for $L(s, \pi)$ in (1.1) converges absolutely so that we can write

$$L(s, \pi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{n^s}, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\lambda_\pi(n) = \prod_{p^\nu \| n} \Big(\sum_{\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_m = \nu} \alpha_\pi(p, 1)^{\nu_1} \cdots \alpha_\pi(p, m)^{\nu_m} \Big). \tag{1.7}$$

When π is self-contragradient, $\lambda_\pi(n)$ is real for all $n \geq 1$ so that one would be interested in the problem of sign changes of $\lambda_\pi(n)$. Yan Qu[8] proved that, if π is a self-contragradient irreducible unitary cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$, then there must be infinitely many sign changes in the sequence $\{\lambda_\pi(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, i.e., there are infinitely many n such that $\lambda_\pi(n) > 0$, and there are infinitely many n such that $\lambda_\pi(n) < 0$.

Recently, Jianya Liu and Jie Wu[4] gave a quantitative version of the above result of Yan Qu[8]. Write

$$\mathcal{N}_\pi^+(x) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \lambda_\pi(n) > 0}} 1, \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_\pi^-(x) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \lambda_\pi(n) < 0}} 1. \tag{1.9}$$

They[4] showed that, if π is a self-contragradient irreducible unitary cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$ and θ_m is in (1.4) or (1.5), then for $x \geq x_0(\pi)$, one has

$$\mathcal{N}_\pi^\pm(x) \gg_\pi x^{1-2\theta_m} (\log x)^{\frac{2}{m} - m - 4}, \tag{1.10}$$

unconditionally for $2 \leq m \leq 4$ and under the Hypothesis H for $m \geq 5$. The well known Hypothesis H is due to Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak[10], which is stated as follows.

Hypothesis H. Let

$$a_\pi(n) := \begin{cases} \alpha_\pi(p, 1)^\nu + \cdots + \alpha_\pi(p, m)^\nu, & \text{if } n = p^\nu, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

for all primes p and integers $\nu \geq 1$. Then for any fixed integer $\mu \geq 2$,

$$\sum_p \frac{|a_\pi(p^\mu)|^2 \log^2 p}{p^\mu} < \infty. \tag{1.12}$$

In this note, we shall give another proof of (1.10) with an improvement on the logarithm factor.

Theorem. Let π be a self-contragradient irreducible unitary cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$ and θ_m be in (1.4) or (1.5). Then for $x \geq x_0(\pi)$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_\pi^\pm(x) \gg_\pi x^{1-2\theta_m} (\log x)^{-2[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]-4}, \tag{1.13}$$

unconditionally for $2 \leq m \leq 4$ and under the Hypothesis H for $m \geq 5$.

It is easy to verify

$$-2\Big[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}\Big] - 4 > \frac{2}{m} - m - 4,$$

so that we can get an improvement on the logarithm factor for (1.10).

2. Some lemmas

Lemma 1. Let $L(s, \pi)$ be defined as in (1.1) and (1.2). Then $L(s, \pi)$ is an entire function. For real numbers $\sigma \geq 0$ and t, we have

$$L(\sigma + it, \pi) \ll_{\pi,\varepsilon} (|t| + 1)^{\max(\frac{m}{2}(1-\sigma), 0)+\varepsilon}, \tag{2.1}$$

where ε is a sufficiently small positive constant.

Proof. By the exposition in page 85 of [7], we know that $L(s, \pi)$ is an entire function. The application of convexity bound for $L(s, \pi)$ of G. Harcos[1] yields the estimate (2.1).

Lemma 2. Let θ_m be in (1.4) or (1.5). We have

$$\lambda_\pi(n) \ll_\pi n^{\theta_m+\varepsilon}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\lambda_\pi(p) = \alpha_\pi(p, 1) + \cdots + \alpha_\pi(p, m) \ll_\pi p^{\theta_m}. \tag{2.3}$$

They come from (1.3) and (1.7).

Lemma 3. We have

$$\sum_{n\le x}\lambda_\pi(n)\Big(\log\frac{x}{n}\Big)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1}\ll_{\pi,\,\varepsilon} x^{1-\theta_m-\varepsilon}, \tag{2.4}$$

where ε is a sufficiently small positive constant.

Proof. For any positive integer k,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty}\frac{y^s}{s^{k+1}}ds=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{k!}\log^k y, & \text{if}\quad y\ge 1,\\ 0, & \text{if } 0<y<1.\end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n\le x}\lambda_\pi(n)\Big(\log\frac{x}{n}\Big)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1}\\ &=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\Big(\Big[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}\Big]+1\Big)!\int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty}L(s,\,\pi)\frac{x^s}{s^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+2}}ds.\end{aligned}$$

We move the line of integration to $\mathrm{Re}(s)=1-\theta_m-\varepsilon$. An application of Lemma 1 produces

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty}L(s,\,\pi)\frac{x^s}{s^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+2}}ds\\ &=\int_{1-\theta_m-\varepsilon-i\infty}^{1-\theta_m-\varepsilon+i\infty}L(s,\,\pi)\frac{x^s}{s^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+2}}ds\\ &\ll\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(|t|+1)^{\frac{m}{2}(\theta_m+\varepsilon)+\varepsilon}\frac{x^{1-\theta_m-\varepsilon}}{(|t|+1)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+2}}dt\\ &=x^{1-\theta_m-\varepsilon}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dt}{(|t|+1)^{2-\{\frac{m\theta_m}{2}\}-\frac{m\varepsilon}{2}-\varepsilon}}\\ &\ll x^{1-\theta_m-\varepsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n\le x}\lambda_\pi(n)\Big(\log\frac{x}{n}\Big)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1}\ll_{\pi,\,\varepsilon} x^{1-\theta_m-\varepsilon}.$$

So far the proof of Lemma 3 is finished.

Lemma 4. We have

$$\sum_{n\le x}|\lambda_\pi(n)|^2\ll_\pi x. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. When $m=2$, R. A. Rankin[9] showed that

$$\sum_{n\le x}|\lambda_\pi(n)|^2=cx+O_\pi(x^{\frac{3}{5}})\ll_\pi x,$$

where c is a positive constant.

When $m \geq 3$, let $L(s,\, \pi \times \tilde{\pi})$ be the Rankin-Selberg $L-$function associated to π and its contragradient $\tilde{\pi}$, which is defined as

$$L(s,\, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}) := \prod_{p<\infty} L(s,\, \pi_p \times \tilde{\pi}_p).$$

Write

$$L(s,\, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}}(n)}{n^s}.$$

By (3.10) in page 2884 of [5], we have

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \lambda_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}}(n) = c_\pi x + O_{\pi,\,\varepsilon}(x^{\frac{m^2-1}{m^2+1}+\varepsilon}) \ll_\pi x,$$

where c_π is a positive constant. The discussion in page 2885 of [5] yields

$$|\lambda_\pi(n)|^2 \leq \lambda_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}}(n).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n\leq x} |\lambda_\pi(n)|^2 \leq \sum_{n\leq x} \lambda_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}}(n) \ll_\pi x.$$

So far the proof of Lemma 4 is finished.

Lemma 5. Let π be a self-contragradient irreducible unitary cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then there is a positive constant $c = c(\pi)$ such that

$$\sum_{p\leq x} |\lambda_\pi(p)|^2 \log p = x + O_\pi(xe^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}) \tag{2.6}$$

holds true unconditionally for $2 \leq m \leq 4$ and under the Hypothesis H for $m \geq 5$.

This is Theorem 3 of [11].

3. The proof of Theorem

We only prove for $\mathcal{N}_\pi^+(x)$. The proof for $\mathcal{N}_\pi^-(x)$ is same.

For $x \geq x_0(\pi)$, by (2.3) and Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n\leq x} |\lambda_\pi(n)| \Big(\log \frac{x}{n}\Big)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1} \\
&\geq \sum_{n\leq \frac{x}{2}} |\lambda_\pi(n)| \Big(\log \frac{x}{n}\Big)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1} \\
&\geq (\log 2)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1} \sum_{n\leq \frac{x}{2}} |\lambda_\pi(n)| \\
&\gg \sum_{p\leq \frac{x}{2}} |\lambda_\pi(p)| \\
&\gg \frac{1}{x^{\theta_m} \log x} \sum_{p\leq \frac{x}{2}} |\lambda_\pi(p)|^2 \log p \\
&\gg \frac{x^{1-\theta_m}}{\log x}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3,

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \lambda_\pi(n) \Big(\log \frac{x}{n}\Big)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1} \ll x^{1-\theta_m-\varepsilon}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{|\lambda_\pi(n)| + \lambda_\pi(n)}{2} \Big(\log \frac{x}{n}\Big)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1} \gg \frac{x^{1-\theta_m}}{\log x}. \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, we note that $\lambda_\pi(n)$ is real for all $n \geq 1$ and use the Cauchy's inequality and Lemma 4 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{|\lambda_\pi(n)| + \lambda_\pi(n)}{2} \Big(\log \frac{x}{n}\Big)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{n\leq x \\ \lambda_\pi(n)>0}} |\lambda_\pi(n)| \Big(\log \frac{x}{n}\Big)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1} \\
&\leq (\log x)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1} \sum_{\substack{n\leq x \\ \lambda_\pi(n)>0}} |\lambda_\pi(n)| \\
&\leq (\log x)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1} \Big(\sum_{n\leq x} |\lambda_\pi(n)|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{n\leq x \\ \lambda_\pi(n)>0}} 1\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log x)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1} (\mathcal{N}_\pi^+(x))^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{x^{1-\theta_m}}{\log x} \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log x)^{[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]+1}(\mathcal{N}_\pi^+(x))^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$\mathcal{N}_\pi^+(x) \gg x^{1-2\theta_m}(\log x)^{-2[\frac{m\theta_m}{2}]-4}.$$

Therefore the proof of Theorem is complete.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank his colleagues and friends in the "ergodic prime number theorem 2014" seminar in the Morningside Center of Chinese Academy of Sciences, especially Dr. Hengcai Tang and Dr. Qinghua Pi, for their helpful discussion.

The author is supported by the National Key Basic Research Program of China (Project No. 2013CB834202) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11371344, Grant No. 11321101).

References

[1] G. Harcos, *Uniform approximate functional equation for principal $L-$functions*, Inter. Math. Research Notes, **13**(2002), 923-932; ibid. **18**(2004), 659-660.

[2] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika, *On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations I*, Amer. J. Math., **103**(1981), 499-558.

[3] H. H. Kim and P. Sarnak, *Refined estimates towards the Ramanujan and Selberg conjectures*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **16**(2003), no.1, 175-183.

[4] Jianya Liu and Jie Wu, The number of coefficients of automorphic $L-$functions for GL_m of same signs, arXiv:1404.6867v1[math.NT].

[5] Guangshi Lü, *On sums involving coefficients of automorphic $L-$functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **137**(2009), 2879-2887.

[6] Wenzhi Luo, Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, *On the generalized Ramanujan conjecture for $GL(n)$*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol.**66**, part 2, 1999, 301-310.

[7] Yan Qu, *The prime number theorem for automorphic $L-$functions for GL_m*, J. Number Theory, **122**(2007), 84-99.

[8] Yan Qu, *Linnik-type problems for automorphic $L-$functions*, J. Number Theory, **130**(2010), 786-802.

[9] R. A. Rankin, *Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and similar arithmetical functions II. The order of the Fourier coefficients of the integral modular forms*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., **35**(1939), 357-372.

[10] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, *Zeros of principal $L-$functions and random matrix theory*, Duke Math. J., **81**(1996), 269-322.

[11] Jie Wu and Yangbo Ye, *Hypothesis H and the prime number theorem for automorphic representations*, Functiones et Approximatio, **37**(2007), 461-471.

Chaohua Jia

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100190, P. R. China

Hua Loo-Keng Key Laboratory of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China

E-mail: jiach@math.ac.cn